Computational methods in "commutative algebra"

Luca Amata

Department of Mathematical and Computer Sciences, Physical and Geological Sciences, University of Messina

lamata@unime.it



DOCTORAL DAY

June 15th, 2018

- Computer Algebra is a subject of science devoted to methods for solving mathematically formulated problems by symbolic algorithms, and to implementation of these algorithms. It is based on the exact finite representation of mathematical objects and structures, and allows for symbolic and abstract manipulation by a computer.
- The interplay between computation and many areas of algebra is a natural phenomenon in view of the algorithmic character of the latter. The existence of inexpensive but powerful computational resources has enhanced these links by the opening up of many new areas of investigation in algebra.
- A frequent task in computational algebra is to certify that a given object has a certain property, also providing rather elaborate examples. Moreover, they have contributed to a new view of algorithmic methods not only as tools, but as new objects worthy of mathematical study. In fact an algorithmic approach to a classical problem may lead to a significant refinement of classical structure theory irrespective of algorithmic considerations.

- Computer Algebra is a subject of science devoted to methods for solving mathematically formulated problems by symbolic algorithms, and to implementation of these algorithms. It is based on the exact finite representation of mathematical objects and structures, and allows for symbolic and abstract manipulation by a computer.
- The interplay between computation and many areas of algebra is a natural phenomenon in view of the algorithmic character of the latter. The existence of inexpensive but powerful computational resources has enhanced these links by the opening up of many new areas of investigation in algebra.

A frequent task in computational algebra is to certify that a given object has a certain property, also providing rather elaborate examples. Moreover, they have contributed to a new view of algorithmic methods not only as tools, but as new objects worthy of mathematical study. In fact an algorithmic approach to a classical problem may lead to a significant refinement of classical structure theory irrespective of algorithmic considerations.

- Computer Algebra is a subject of science devoted to methods for solving mathematically formulated problems by symbolic algorithms, and to implementation of these algorithms. It is based on the exact finite representation of mathematical objects and structures, and allows for symbolic and abstract manipulation by a computer.
- The interplay between computation and many areas of algebra is a natural phenomenon in view of the algorithmic character of the latter. The existence of inexpensive but powerful computational resources has enhanced these links by the opening up of many new areas of investigation in algebra.
- A frequent task in computational algebra is to certify that a given object has a certain property, also providing rather elaborate examples. Moreover, they have contributed to a new view of algorithmic methods not only as tools, but as new objects worthy of mathematical study. In fact an algorithmic approach to a classical problem may lead to a significant refinement of classical structure theory irrespective of algorithmic considerations.

Extremal Betti numbers

- We implement in CoCoA[1] some algorithms in order to easy compute graded ideals of a polynomial ring with given extremal Betti numbers (positions as well as values).
- More precisely, we develop a package for determining the conditions under which, given two positive integers $n, r, 1 \le r \le n-1$, there exists a graded ideal of a polynomial ring in n variables with r extremal Betti numbers in the given position.
- An algorithm to check whether an *r*-tuple of positive integers represents the admissible values of the *r* extremal Betti numbers is also described.

Extremal Betti numbers

- We implement in CoCoA[1] some algorithms in order to easy compute graded ideals of a polynomial ring with given extremal Betti numbers (positions as well as values).
- More precisely, we develop a package for determining the conditions under which, given two positive integers $n, r, 1 \le r \le n-1$, there exists a graded ideal of a polynomial ring in n variables with r extremal Betti numbers in the given position.
- An algorithm to check whether an *r*-tuple of positive integers represents the admissible values of the *r* extremal Betti numbers is also described.

Extremal Betti numbers

- We implement in CoCoA[1] some algorithms in order to easy compute graded ideals of a polynomial ring with given extremal Betti numbers (positions as well as values).
- More precisely, we develop a package for determining the conditions under which, given two positive integers $n, r, 1 \le r \le n-1$, there exists a graded ideal of a polynomial ring in n variables with r extremal Betti numbers in the given position.
- An algorithm to check whether an *r*-tuple of positive integers represents the admissible values of the *r* extremal Betti numbers is also described.

- We introduce a Macaulay2[2] package that allows one to deal with classes of monomial ideals over an exterior algebra *E*.
- More precisely, we implement some algorithms in order to easily compute stable, strongly stable and lexsegment ideals in *E*.
- Moreover, an algorithm to check whether an (n + 1)-tuple $(1, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ $(h_1 \le n = \dim_K V)$ of nonnegative integers is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra of the form E/I, with I graded ideal of E, is given.
- In particular, if $H_{E/I}$ is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra E/I, the package is able to construct the unique lexsegment ideal I^{lex} such that $H_{E/I} = H_{E/I^{\text{lex}}}$.
- Finally, an algorithm to compute all the admissible Hilbert functions of graded K-algebras E/I, with given E, is also described.

- We introduce a Macaulay2[2] package that allows one to deal with classes of monomial ideals over an exterior algebra *E*.
- More precisely, we implement some algorithms in order to easily compute stable, strongly stable and lexsegment ideals in *E*.
- Moreover, an algorithm to check whether an (n + 1)-tuple $(1, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ $(h_1 \le n = \dim_K V)$ of nonnegative integers is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra of the form E/I, with I graded ideal of E, is given.
- In particular, if $H_{E/I}$ is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra E/I, the package is able to construct the unique lexsegment ideal I^{lex} such that $H_{E/I} = H_{E/I^{\text{lex}}}$.
- Finally, an algorithm to compute all the admissible Hilbert functions of graded K-algebras E/I, with given E, is also described.

- We introduce a Macaulay2[2] package that allows one to deal with classes of monomial ideals over an exterior algebra *E*.
- More precisely, we implement some algorithms in order to easily compute stable, strongly stable and lexsegment ideals in *E*.
- Moreover, an algorithm to check whether an (n + 1)-tuple $(1, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ $(h_1 \le n = \dim_K V)$ of nonnegative integers is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra of the form E/I, with I graded ideal of E, is given.
- In particular, if $H_{E/I}$ is the Hilbert function of a graded *K*-algebra E/I, the package is able to construct the unique lexsegment ideal I^{lex} such that $H_{E/I} = H_{E/I^{\text{lex}}}$.
- Finally, an algorithm to compute all the admissible Hilbert functions of graded K-algebras E/I, with given E, is also described.

- We introduce a Macaulay2[2] package that allows one to deal with classes of monomial ideals over an exterior algebra E.
- More precisely, we implement some algorithms in order to easily compute stable, strongly stable and lexsegment ideals in *E*.
- Moreover, an algorithm to check whether an (n + 1)-tuple $(1, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ $(h_1 \le n = \dim_K V)$ of nonnegative integers is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra of the form E/I, with I graded ideal of E, is given.
- In particular, if $H_{E/I}$ is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra E/I, the package is able to construct the unique lexsegment ideal I^{lex} such that $H_{E/I} = H_{E/I^{\text{lex}}}$.
- Finally, an algorithm to compute all the admissible Hilbert functions of graded K-algebras E/I, with given E, is also described.

- We introduce a Macaulay2[2] package that allows one to deal with classes of monomial ideals over an exterior algebra E.
- More precisely, we implement some algorithms in order to easily compute stable, strongly stable and lexsegment ideals in *E*.
- Moreover, an algorithm to check whether an (n + 1)-tuple $(1, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ $(h_1 \le n = \dim_K V)$ of nonnegative integers is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra of the form E/I, with I graded ideal of E, is given.
- In particular, if $H_{E/I}$ is the Hilbert function of a graded K-algebra E/I, the package is able to construct the unique lexsegment ideal I^{lex} such that $H_{E/I} = H_{E/I^{\text{lex}}}$.
- Finally, an algorithm to compute all the admissible Hilbert functions of graded K-algebras E/I, with given E, is also described.

▶ Let S = K[x₁,...,x_n] be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K.

The extremal Betti numbers[3] of a graded ideal *I* of *S* are the non-zero top left corners in a block of zeroes in the Macaulay diagram of a graded free resolution of *I*.



- Let S = K[x₁,...,x_n] be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K.
- The extremal Betti numbers[3] of a graded ideal *I* of *S* are the non-zero top left corners in a block of zeroes in the Macaulay diagram of a graded free resolution of *I*.



- Let S = K[x₁,...,x_n] be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K.
- The extremal Betti numbers[3] of a graded ideal *I* of *S* are the non-zero top left corners in a block of zeroes in the Macaulay diagram of a graded free resolution of *I*.



- Let S = K[x₁,...,x_n] be the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K.
- The extremal Betti numbers[3] of a graded ideal *I* of *S* are the non-zero top left corners in a block of zeroes in the Macaulay diagram of a graded free resolution of *I*.



A question:

Given

- two positive integers $n, r, 1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, \ 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

A question:

Given

- two positive integers n, r, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

A question:

Given

- two positive integers n, r, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, \ 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

A question:

Given

- two positive integers n, r, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

A question:

Given

- two positive integers n, r, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

A question:

Given

- two positive integers n, r, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

A question:

Given

- two positive integers n, r, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

A question:

Given

- two positive integers n, r, $1 \leq r \leq n-1$,
- r pairs of positive integers $(k_1, \ell_1), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ such that $n-1 \ge k_1 > k_2 > \cdots > k_r \ge 1, 1 \le \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \cdots < \ell_r$,
- r positive integers a_1, \ldots, a_r ,

under which conditions does there exist a graded ideal I of S such that $\beta_{k_1,k_1+\ell_1}(I) = a_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_r,k_r+\ell_r}(I) = a_r$ are its extremal Betti numbers?

- has been given in [4] and [5], when K is a field of characteristic zero,
- the generic initial ideal, with respect to the graded reverse lexicographic order, of a graded ideal *I* of *S* is a strongly stable ideal,
- the extremal Betti numbers of *I*, as well as their positions, are preserved by passing from *I* to his generic initial ideal.
- Hence the problem can be reformulated in terms of strongly stable ideals.

Theorem[6]

Given two positive integers n, r, r pairs $(k_1, \ell_1), (k_2, \ell_2), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ and r positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r respecting the previous hypothesis and let K be a field of characteristic 0, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- there exists a strongly stable ideal $I \subsetneq S$, with extremal Betti numbers $\beta_{k_i,k_i+\ell_i}(I) = a_i$, for i = 1, ..., r;

- set $t = \max\{i : \ell_i \le r - i\}$. The integers a_i satisfy the conditions:

 $1 \leq \mathsf{a}_i \leq |\mathsf{A}_i \setminus \mathsf{LexShad}^{\ell_i - \ell_{i-1}}(\mathsf{A}_{i-1})|, \quad ext{for} \ \ i = 1, \dots, r,$

where
$$A_0 = \emptyset$$
,
(i) $A_1 = \{u \in A(k_1, \ell_1) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r-1} x_{k_1+1}\}$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$;
(ii) $A_i = \{u \in A(k_i, \ell_i) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r} x_{k_{r-1}} \cdots x_{k_{r-\ell_i+3}} x_{k_{r-\ell_i+2}-1} x_{k_i+1}\}$, for
 $i = 1, ..., t$, whenever $\ell_1 \ge 3$, and for $i = 2, ..., t$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$;
(iii) $A_i = \{u \in A(k_i, \ell_i) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r} x_{k_{r-1}} \cdots x_{k_{i+1}} x_{k_i+1}^{\ell_i-(r-i)}\}$, for
 $i = t + 1, ..., r - 1$;
(iv) $A_r = \{u \in A(k_r, \ell_r) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r}^{\ell_r} \}$,
and if $a_i = |[u, v]|$, with $u, v \in A_i$, then $1 \le a_{i+1} \le |A_{i+1} \setminus \text{LexShad}^{\ell_{i+1}-\ell_i}([u, v])|$, for all $i = 1, ..., r - 1$,
th $2 < r \le n - 2$ (it has to be $n \ge 5$), $k_r \ge 2$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$, and
 $\le r \le n - 1, k_r \ge 1$, whenever $\ell_1 \ge 3$.

Luca Amata

Theorem[6]

Given two positive integers n, r, r pairs $(k_1, \ell_1), (k_2, \ell_2), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ and r positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r respecting the previous hypothesis and let K be a field of characteristic 0, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- there exists a strongly stable ideal $I \subsetneq S$, with extremal Betti numbers $\beta_{k_i,k_i+\ell_i}(I) = a_i$, for i = 1, ..., r;
- set $t = \max\{i : \ell_i \le r i\}$. The integers a_i satisfy the conditions:

 $1 \leq a_i \leq |A_i \setminus \text{LexShad}^{\ell_i - \ell_{i-1}}(A_{i-1})|, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, r,$

where
$$A_0 = \emptyset$$
,
(i) $A_1 = \{u \in A(k_1, \ell_1) : u \ge_{\text{lex}} x_{k_r-1} x_{k_1+1}\}$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$;
(ii) $A_i = \{u \in A(k_i, \ell_i) : u \ge_{\text{lex}} x_{k_r} x_{k_{r-1}} \cdots x_{k_{r-\ell_i+3}} x_{k_{r-\ell_i+2}-1} x_{k_i+1}\}$, for
 $i = 1, ..., t$, whenever $\ell_1 \ge 3$, and for $i = 2, ..., t$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$;
(iii) $A_i = \{u \in A(k_i, \ell_i) : u \ge_{\text{lex}} x_{k_r} x_{k_{r-1}} \cdots x_{k_{i+1}} x_{k_i+1}^{\ell_i-(r-i)}\}$, for
 $i = t + 1, ..., r - 1$;
(iv) $A_r = \{u \in A(k_r, \ell_r) : u \ge_{\text{lex}} x_{k_r}^{\ell_r} \}$,
and if $a_i = |[u, v]|$, with $u, v \in A_i$, then $1 \le a_{i+1} \le |A_{i+1} \setminus \text{LexShad}^{\ell_{i+1}-\ell_i}([u, v])|$, for all $i = 1, ..., r - 1$,
th $2 < r \le n - 2$ (it has to be $n \ge 5$), $k_r \ge 2$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$, and
 $\le r \le n - 1$, $k_r \ge 1$, whenever $\ell_1 \ge 3$.

Luca Amata

Theorem[6]

Given two positive integers n, r, r pairs $(k_1, \ell_1), (k_2, \ell_2), \ldots, (k_r, \ell_r)$ and r positive integers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r respecting the previous hypothesis and let K be a field of characteristic 0, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- there exists a strongly stable ideal $I \subsetneq S$, with extremal Betti numbers $\beta_{k_i,k_i+\ell_i}(I) = a_i$, for i = 1, ..., r;
- set $t = \max\{i : \ell_i \le r i\}$. The integers a_i satisfy the conditions:

$$1 \leq \mathsf{a}_i \leq |\mathsf{A}_i \setminus \mathsf{LexShad}^{\ell_i - \ell_{i-1}}(\mathsf{A}_{i-1})|, \quad ext{for} \ \ i = 1, \dots, r,$$

where
$$A_0 = \emptyset$$
,
(i) $A_1 = \{u \in A(k_1, \ell_1) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r-1} x_{k_1+1}\}$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$;
(ii) $A_i = \{u \in A(k_i, \ell_i) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r} x_{k_{r-1}} \cdots x_{k_{r-\ell_i+3}} x_{k_{r-\ell_i+2}-1} x_{k_i+1}\}$, for
 $i = 1, ..., t$, whenever $\ell_1 \ge 3$, and for $i = 2, ..., t$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$;
(iii) $A_i = \{u \in A(k_i, \ell_i) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r} x_{k_{r-1}} \cdots x_{k_{i+1}} x_{k_i+1}^{\ell_i-(r-i)}\}$, for
 $i = t + 1, ..., r - 1$;
(iv) $A_r = \{u \in A(k_r, \ell_r) : u \ge_{lex} x_{k_r}^{\ell_r}\}$,
and if $a_i = |[u, v]|$, with $u, v \in A_i$, then $1 \le a_{i+1} \le |A_{i+1} \setminus \text{LexShad}^{\ell_{i+1}-\ell_i}([u, v])|$, for all $i = 1, ..., r - 1$,
with $2 < r \le n - 2$ (it has to be $n \ge 5$), $k_r \ge 2$, whenever $\ell_1 = 2$, and
 $1 \le r \le n - 1, k_r \ge 1$, whenever $\ell_1 \ge 3$.





Luca Amata

June 15th. 2018

Computational methods in algebra





Luca Amata

June 15th. 2018

Computational methods in algebra





-1**-	Extremal_Betti.cocoa5	Top L1	(CoCoA5)	
	Luca Amata		June 15th, 2018	Computational methods in algebra

9/29



Luca Amata

June 15th. 2018

Computational methods in algebra








Luca Amata

June 15th, 2018

Computational methods in algebra 11 / 24



Luca Amata

June 15th. 2018

Computational methods in algebra





- Let K be a field. We denote by E = K ⟨e₁,..., e_n⟩ the exterior algebra of a K-vector space V with basis e₁,..., e_n.
 - For any subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_d\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d$, we write $e_{\sigma} = e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_d}$, and call e_{σ} a monomial of degree d. We set $e_{\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$.
 - We define supp $(e_{\sigma}) = \sigma = \{j : e_j \text{ divides } e_{\sigma}\}$ and $m(e_{\sigma}) = \max\{i : i \in \text{supp}(e_{\sigma})\}$. Moreover, we set $m(e_{\sigma}) = 0$ if $e_{\sigma} = 1$.
 - If *I* is a graded ideal in *E*, then the function $H_I : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by $H_I(d) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} I_d$ $(i \ge 0)$ is called the Hilbert function of *I*.
- ▶ Let *I* be a monomial ideal of *E*. *I* is called stable if for each monomial $e_{\sigma} \in I$ and each $j < m(e_{\sigma})$ one has $e_j e_{\sigma \setminus \{m(e_{\sigma})\}} \in I$.
- I is called strongly stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ I and each j ∈ σ one has e_ie_{σ\{j} ∈ I, for all i < j.</p>

- ► Let K be a field. We denote by E = K ⟨e₁,..., e_n⟩ the exterior algebra of a K-vector space V with basis e₁,..., e_n.
 - For any subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_d\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d$, we write $e_{\sigma} = e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_d}$, and call e_{σ} a monomial of degree d. We set $e_{\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$.
 - We define supp $(e_{\sigma}) = \sigma = \{j : e_j \text{ divides } e_{\sigma}\}$ and $m(e_{\sigma}) = \max\{i : i \in \text{supp}(e_{\sigma})\}$. Moreover, we set $m(e_{\sigma}) = 0$ if $e_{\sigma} = 1$.
 - If *I* is a graded ideal in *E*, then the function $H_I : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by $H_I(d) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} I_d$ $(i \ge 0)$ is called the Hilbert function of *I*.
- ▶ Let *I* be a monomial ideal of *E*. *I* is called stable if for each monomial $e_{\sigma} \in I$ and each $j < m(e_{\sigma})$ one has $e_j e_{\sigma \setminus \{m(e_{\sigma})\}} \in I$.
- I is called strongly stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ I and each j ∈ σ one has e_ie_{σ\{j} ∈ I, for all i < j.</p>

- ► Let K be a field. We denote by E = K ⟨e₁,..., e_n⟩ the exterior algebra of a K-vector space V with basis e₁,..., e_n.
 - For any subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_d\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d$, we write $e_{\sigma} = e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_d}$, and call e_{σ} a monomial of degree d. We set $e_{\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$.
 - We define supp $(e_{\sigma}) = \sigma = \{j : e_j \text{ divides } e_{\sigma}\}$ and $m(e_{\sigma}) = \max\{i : i \in \text{supp}(e_{\sigma})\}$. Moreover, we set $m(e_{\sigma}) = 0$ if $e_{\sigma} = 1$.
 - If *I* is a graded ideal in *E*, then the function $H_I : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by $H_I(d) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} I_d$ $(i \ge 0)$ is called the Hilbert function of *I*.
- ▶ Let *I* be a monomial ideal of *E*. *I* is called stable if for each monomial $e_{\sigma} \in I$ and each $j < m(e_{\sigma})$ one has $e_j e_{\sigma \setminus \{m(e_{\sigma})\}} \in I$.
- I is called strongly stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ I and each j ∈ σ one has e_ie_{σ\{j} ∈ I, for all i < j.</p>

- ► Let K be a field. We denote by E = K ⟨e₁,..., e_n⟩ the exterior algebra of a K-vector space V with basis e₁,..., e_n.
 - For any subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_d\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d$, we write $e_{\sigma} = e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_d}$, and call e_{σ} a monomial of degree d. We set $e_{\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$.
 - We define supp $(e_{\sigma}) = \sigma = \{j : e_j \text{ divides } e_{\sigma}\}$ and $m(e_{\sigma}) = \max\{i : i \in \text{supp}(e_{\sigma})\}$. Moreover, we set $m(e_{\sigma}) = 0$ if $e_{\sigma} = 1$.
 - If *I* is a graded ideal in *E*, then the function $H_I : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by $H_I(d) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} I_d$ $(i \ge 0)$ is called the Hilbert function of *I*.
- ▶ Let *I* be a monomial ideal of *E*. *I* is called stable if for each monomial $e_{\sigma} \in I$ and each $j < m(e_{\sigma})$ one has $e_j e_{\sigma \setminus \{m(e_{\sigma})\}} \in I$.
- I is called strongly stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ I and each j ∈ σ one has e_ie_{σ\{j} ∈ I, for all i < j.</p>

- ► Let K be a field. We denote by E = K ⟨e₁,..., e_n⟩ the exterior algebra of a K-vector space V with basis e₁,..., e_n.
 - For any subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_d\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d$, we write $e_{\sigma} = e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_d}$, and call e_{σ} a monomial of degree d. We set $e_{\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$.
 - We define supp $(e_{\sigma}) = \sigma = \{j : e_j \text{ divides } e_{\sigma}\}$ and $m(e_{\sigma}) = \max\{i : i \in \text{supp}(e_{\sigma})\}$. Moreover, we set $m(e_{\sigma}) = 0$ if $e_{\sigma} = 1$.
 - If *I* is a graded ideal in *E*, then the function $H_I : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by $H_I(d) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} I_d$ $(i \ge 0)$ is called the Hilbert function of *I*.
- Let *I* be a monomial ideal of *E*. *I* is called stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ *I* and each *j* < m(e_σ) one has e_je_{σ \{m(e_σ)}} ∈ *I*.
- I is called strongly stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ I and each j ∈ σ one has e_ie_{σ\{j} ∈ I, for all i < j.</p>

- ▶ Let K be a field. We denote by E = K ⟨e₁,..., e_n⟩ the exterior algebra of a K-vector space V with basis e₁,..., e_n.
 - For any subset $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_d\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d$, we write $e_{\sigma} = e_{i_1} \land \ldots \land e_{i_d}$, and call e_{σ} a monomial of degree d. We set $e_{\sigma} = 1$, if $\sigma = \emptyset$.
 - We define supp $(e_{\sigma}) = \sigma = \{j : e_j \text{ divides } e_{\sigma}\}$ and $m(e_{\sigma}) = \max\{i : i \in \text{supp}(e_{\sigma})\}$. Moreover, we set $m(e_{\sigma}) = 0$ if $e_{\sigma} = 1$.
 - If *I* is a graded ideal in *E*, then the function $H_I : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ given by $H_I(d) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} I_d$ $(i \ge 0)$ is called the Hilbert function of *I*.
- Let *I* be a monomial ideal of *E*. *I* is called stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ *I* and each *j* < m(e_σ) one has e_je_{σ \{m(e_σ)}} ∈ *I*.
- I is called strongly stable if for each monomial e_σ ∈ I and each j ∈ σ one has e_ie_{σ\{j} ∈ I, for all i < j.</p>

- Let >_{lex} the *lexicographic order* on the set of all monomials of degree d ≥ 1 in E. A monomial ideal I of E is called a *lexsegment* ideal (lex ideal, for short) if for all monomials u ∈ I and all monomials v ∈ E with deg u = deg v and v >_{lex} u, then v ∈ I.
- ▶ Let *a* and *i* be two positive integers. Then *a* has the unique *i*-th Macaulay expansion $a = \binom{a_i}{i} + \binom{a_{i-1}}{i-1} + \cdots + \binom{a_j}{j}$ with $a_i > a_{i-1} > \cdots > a_j \ge j \ge 1$.
- ▶ We define $a^{(i)} = {a_i \choose i+1} + {a_{i-1} \choose i} + \dots + {a_j \choose j+1}$. We also set $0^{(i)} = 0$ for all $i \ge 1$.

- Let >_{lex} the *lexicographic order* on the set of all monomials of degree d ≥ 1 in E. A monomial ideal I of E is called a **lexsegment ideal** (lex ideal, for short) if for all monomials u ∈ I and all monomials v ∈ E with deg u = deg v and v >_{lex} u, then v ∈ I.
- ▶ Let *a* and *i* be two positive integers. Then *a* has the unique *i*-th Macaulay expansion $a = \binom{a_i}{i} + \binom{a_{i-1}}{i-1} + \cdots + \binom{a_j}{j}$ with $a_i > a_{i-1} > \cdots > a_j \ge j \ge 1$.
- ▶ We define $a^{(i)} = {a_i \choose i+1} + {a_{i-1} \choose i} + \dots + {a_j \choose j+1}$. We also set $0^{(i)} = 0$ for all $i \ge 1$.

- Let >_{lex} the *lexicographic order* on the set of all monomials of degree d ≥ 1 in E. A monomial ideal I of E is called a **lexsegment ideal** (lex ideal, for short) if for all monomials u ∈ I and all monomials v ∈ E with deg u = deg v and v >_{lex} u, then v ∈ I.
- ▶ Let *a* and *i* be two positive integers. Then *a* has the unique *i*-th Macaulay expansion $a = \binom{a_i}{i} + \binom{a_{i-1}}{i-1} + \cdots + \binom{a_j}{j}$ with $a_i > a_{i-1} > \cdots = a_j \ge j \ge 1$.
- ► We define $a^{(i)} = {a_i \choose i+1} + {a_{i-1} \choose i} + \dots + {a_j \choose j+1}$. We also set $0^{(i)} = 0$ for all $i \ge 1$.

Thm Let (h₁,..., h_n) be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(a) 1 + ∑_{i=1}ⁿ h_itⁱ is the Hilbert series of a graded K-algebra E/I;
(b) 0 < h_{i+1} < h_i⁽ⁱ⁾, 0 < i < n − 1.

This theorem is known as the Kruskal–Katona theorem.

▶ If (1, h₁,..., h_n) is a sequence of nonnegative integers such that
 (i) h₁ ≤ n,
 (ii) 0 < h_{i+1} ≤ h_i⁽ⁱ⁾, 0 < i ≤ n − 1,

then there exists[7] a unique lex ideal I of an exterior algebra E with n generators over a field K such that $H_{E/I}(d) = h_d$ (d = 0, ..., n).

▶ The sequence $(1, h_1, ..., h_n)$ is called the Hilbert sequence of E/I.

Thm Let (h_1, \ldots, h_n) be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (a) $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i t^i$ is the Hilbert series of a graded K-algebra E/I:

(a) $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i t^i$ is the Hilbert series of a graded K-algebra E/I; (b) $0 < h_{i+1} \le h_i^{(i)}$, $0 < i \le n-1$.

This theorem is known as the Kruskal–Katona theorem.

If (1, h₁, ..., h_n) is a sequence of nonnegative integers such that

 (i) h₁ ≤ n,
 (ii) 0 < h_{i+1} ≤ h_i⁽ⁱ⁾, 0 < i ≤ n − 1,

then there exists[7] a unique lex ideal I of an exterior algebra E with n generators over a field K such that $H_{E/I}(d) = h_d$ (d = 0, ..., n).

The sequence $(1, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ is called the Hilbert sequence of E/I.

Thm Let (h_1, \ldots, h_n) be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i t^i$ is the Hilbert series of a graded K-algebra E/I; (b) $0 < h_{i+1} \le h_i^{(i)}$, $0 < i \le n-1$.

This theorem is known as the Kruskal–Katona theorem.

If (1, h₁, ..., h_n) is a sequence of nonnegative integers such that

 (i) h₁ ≤ n,
 (ii) 0 < h_{i+1} ≤ h_i⁽ⁱ⁾, 0 < i ≤ n − 1,

then there exists[7] a unique lex ideal I of an exterior algebra E with n generators over a field K such that $H_{E/I}(d) = h_d$ (d = 0, ..., n).

• The sequence $(1, h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ is called the Hilbert sequence of E/I.



n mathematics or

i1 : loadPackage "ExteriorIdeals"

```
2 : E=QQ[e=1..e=5,SkewCommutative=>true]
```

```
.3 : l=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_3*e_4*e_5
```

```
2 3 3 4 5
3 : Ideal of E
```

```
4 : Is=stableIdeal I
```

```
4 = ideal (e e , e e e , e e , e e e )
12 134 23 345
```

```
4 : Ideal of E
```

```
i5 : Iss=stronglyStableIdeal Is
```

```
5 = ideal (e e , e e , e e e , e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e , e e e , e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e , e
```

o6 = true

•



n mathematics or

i1 : loadPackage "ExteriorIdeals" i2 : E=QQ[e_1..e_5,SkewCommutative=>true]

```
3 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_3*e_4*e_5}
```

```
23 = 1deal (e e , e e e )
23 345
```

```
. Idodi ol h
```

```
4 : Is=stableIdeal I
```

```
4 = ideal (ее, еее, ее, еее)
12 134 23 345
```

```
4 : Ideal of E
```

```
i5 : Iss=stronglyStableIdeal Is
```

```
= ideal (e e , e e , e e e , e e e , e e e , e e 
12 13 145 23 245 34
: Ideal of E
```

i6 : isStronglyStableIdeal Iss

o6 = true

•



n mathematics or

```
i1 : loadPackage "ExteriorIdeals"
i2 : E=OO[e_1..e_5.SkewCommutative=>true]
i3 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_3*e_4*e_5}
o3 = ideal (e e . e e e)
            23 345
o3 : Ideal of E
```

T



n mathematics or

```
i1 : loadPackage "ExteriorIdeals"
i2 : E=OO[e_1..e_5.SkewCommutative=>true]
i3 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_3*e_4*e_5}
o3 = ideal (e e . e e e)
           23 345
o3 : Ideal of E
i4 : Is=stableIdeal I
o4 = ideal (ee, eee, eee)
           12 134 23 345
o4 : Ideal of E
```

-



n mathematics or

```
Q ス Q []
with packages: ConwayPolynomials, Elimination, IntegralClosure, InverseSystems, LL
PrimaryDecomposition, ReesAlgebra, TangentCone
```

```
i1 : loadPackage "ExteriorIdeals"
i2 : E=OO[e_1..e_5.SkewCommutative=>true]
i3 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_3*e_4*e_5}
o3 = ideal (e e . e e e)
          23 345
o3 : Ideal of E
i4 : Is=stableIdeal I
o4 = ideal (ee, eee, eee)
          12 134 23 345
o4 : Ideal of E
i5 : Iss=stronglyStableIdeal Is
o5 = ideal (ee, ee, eee, eee, eee, eee)
          12 13 145 23 245 345
o5 : Ideal of E
```



n mathematics or

```
i1 : loadPackage "ExteriorIdeals"
i2 : E=OO[e_1..e_5.SkewCommutative=>true]
i3 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_3*e_4*e_5}
o3 = ideal (e e . e e e)
          23 345
o3 : Ideal of E
i4 : Is=stableIdeal I
o4 = ideal (ee, eee, eee)
          12 134 23 345
o4 : Ideal of E
i5 : Iss=stronglyStableIdeal Is
o5 = ideal (ee, ee, eee, eee, eee, eee)
          12 13 145 23 245 345
o5 : Ideal of E
i6 : isStronglyStableIdeal Iss
o6 = true
```

020[]

SAVE

UT



n mathematics or

```
i1 : E=QQ[e.1..e.5,SkewCommutative=>true]
i2 : isHilbertSequence({0,4,3,0,0,0},E)
o2 : false
i3 : lexIdeal({1,6,3,0,0,0,0},E)
stdio:24:1:(3): error: expected a Hilbert
i4 : lexIdeal({1,4,4},E)
```

```
o4 = ideal (e , e e , e e , e e e
1 23 24 345
```

```
.5 : lexIdeal({1,5,7,4,0,0},E)
```

```
= ideal (e e , e e , e e , e e e e )
12 13 14 2345
: Ideal of F
```

6 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_2*e_4,e_2*e_5,e_1*e_3*e_4*e_5}

```
S = ideal (e e , e e , e e e e e )
23 24 25 1345
```

```
6 : Ideal of E
```

```
p_7 = \{1, 5, 7, 4, 0, 0\}
```

T

020[]

SAVE

UT



i1 : E=QQ[e_1..e_5,SkewCommutative=>true] i2 : isHilbertSequence({0,4,3,0,0,0},E) o2 : false

T

020[]

SAVE

UT



n mathematics or

```
i1 : E=QQ[e_1..e_5,SkewCommutative=>true]
i2 : isHilbertSequence({0,4,3,0,0,0},E)
o2 : false
i3 : lexIdeal({1,6,3,0,0,0,0},E)
stdio:24:1:(3): error: expected a Hilbert sequence
```

ର୍ ପ୍ ର୍ 🖸





```
i1 : E=QQ[e_1..e_5,SkewCommutative=>true]
i2 : isHilbertSequence({0,4,3,0,0,0},E)
o2 : false
i3 : lexIdeal({1,6,3,0,0,0,0},E)
stdio:24:1:(3): error: expected a Hilbert sequence
i4 : lexIdeal({1,4,4},E)
o4 = ideal (e , e e , e e , e e e )
            1 23 24 345
o4 : Ideal of E
```

ର୍ ପ୍ ର୍ 🖸





n mathematics or

```
i1 : E=QQ[e_1..e_5,SkewCommutative=>true]
i2 : isHilbertSequence({0,4,3,0,0,0},E)
o2 : false
i3 : lexIdeal({1,6,3,0,0,0,0},E)
stdio:24:1:(3): error: expected a Hilbert sequence
i4 : lexIdeal({1,4,4},E)
o4 = ideal (e , e e , e e , e e e )
           1 23 24 345
o4 : Ideal of E
i5 : lexIdeal({1,5,7,4,0,0},E)
o5 = ideal (ee, ee, ee, eee)
           12 13 14 2345
o5 : Ideal of E
```

*

ର୍ ପ୍ ର୍ 🖸

UT



n mathematics or

```
i1 : E=QQ[e_1..e_5,SkewCommutative=>true]
i2 : isHilbertSequence({0,4,3,0,0,0},E)
o2 : false
i3 : lexIdeal({1,6,3,0,0,0,0},E)
stdio:24:1:(3): error: expected a Hilbert sequence
i4 : lexIdeal({1,4,4},E)
o4 = ideal (e, ee, ee, eee)
           1 23 24 345
o4 : Ideal of E
i5 : lexIdeal({1,5,7,4,0,0},E)
o5 = ideal (ee, ee, ee, eee)
           12 13 14 2345
o5 : Ideal of E
i6 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_2*e_4,e_2*e_5,e_1*e_3*e_4*e_5}
o6 = ideal (e e , e e , e e , e e e e )
                24 25 1345
           23
o6 : Ideal of E
```

ର୍ ପ୍ ର୍ 🖸

UT



n mathematics or

```
i1 : E=QQ[e_1..e_5,SkewCommutative=>true]
i2 : isHilbertSequence({0,4,3,0,0,0},E)
o2 : false
i3 : lexIdeal({1,6,3,0,0,0,0},E)
stdio:24:1:(3): error: expected a Hilbert sequence
i4 : lexIdeal({1,4,4},E)
o4 = ideal (e , e e , e e , e e e )
            1 23 24 345
o4 : Ideal of E
i5 : lexIdeal({1,5,7,4,0,0},E)
o5 = ideal (ee, ee, ee, eee)
           12 13 14 2345
o5 : Ideal of E
i6 : I=ideal {e_2*e_3,e_2*e_4,e_2*e_5,e_1*e_3*e_4*e_5}
o6 = ideal (e e , e e , e e , e e e e )
                24 25 1345
            23
of : Ideal of E
i7 : hilbertSequence I
o7 = \{1, 5, 7, 4, 0, 0\}
o7 : List
```

*

ର୍ ଯ୍ ର୍ 🖸





• •

ΤT	•	E=QQ[e_1e_4,SkewCommutative=>true]
		hilbSeqs=allHilbertSequences(E)
		$\{\{1,4,6,4,1\}, \{1,4,6,4,0\}, \{1,4,6,3,0\}, \{1,4,6,2,0\}, \{1,4,6,1,0\},$
		$\frac{1}{1,4,0,0,0}, \{1,3,3,1,0\}, \{1,3,3,0,0\}, \{1,3,2,0,0\}, \{1,3,1,0,0\},$
		{1,3,0,0,0}, {1,2,1,0,0}, {1,2,0,0,0}, {1,1,0,0,0}, {1,0,0,0,0}} List
		1 1
		5 25 Matrix ZZ < ZZ

*

Q 2 Q []



mathematics or

i 1	:	E=QQ[e_1e_4,SkewCommutative=>true]
i2	:	hilbSeqs=allHilbertSequences(E)
52	:	$\{\{1,4,6,4,1\}, \{1,4,6,4,0\}, \{1,4,6,3,0\}, \{1,4,6,2,0\}, \{1,4,6,1,0\},$
		$\frac{1}{\{1,4,6,0,0\}, \{1,4,5,2,0\}, \{1,4,5,1,0\}, \{1,4,5,0,0\}, \{1,4,4,1,0\}, (1,4,4,1,0), (1,4,4,1,1), (1,4,4,1,1), (1,4,4,1,1), (1,4,4,1,1), (1,4,4,1,1), (1,4,4,1), (1,4,4,1), (1,4,4,1), (1,4$
		$\frac{1}{\{1,4,4,0,0\}, \{1,4,3,1,0\}, \{1,4,3,0,0\}, \{1,4,2,0,0\}, \{1,4,1,0,0\}$
		$\frac{1}{\{1,4,0,0,0\}, \{1,3,3,1,0\}, \{1,3,3,0,0\}, \{1,3,2,0,0\}, \{1,3,1,0,0\}, (1,3,1,0,0)$
52	:	{1,3,0,0,0}, {1,2,1,0,0}, {1,2,0,0,0}, {1,1,0,0,0}, {1,0,0,0,0}} List
		transpose matrix hilbSeqs
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

* - I

ବ୍ ମ୍ ବ୍ 🖸

►

UT



n mathematics or

i1	:	E=QQ[e_1e_4,SkewCommutative=>true]	
i2	:	hilbSeqs=allHilbertSequences(E)	
o2	:	$\{\{1,4,6,4,1\}, \{1,4,6,4,0\}, \{1,4,6,3,0\}, \{1,4,6,2,0\}, \{1,4,6,1,0\}$,
		$\frac{1}{\{1,4,6,0,0\}, \{1,4,5,2,0\}, \{1,4,5,1,0\}, \{1,4,5,0,0\}, \{1,4,4,1,0\}}$,
		$ \{1,4,4,0,0\}, \{1,4,3,1,0\}, \{1,4,3,0,0\}, \{1,4,2,0,0\}, \{1,4,1,0,0\} $.,
		$\frac{1}{\{1,4,0,0,0\}, \{1,3,3,1,0\}, \{1,3,3,0,0\}, \{1,3,2,0,0\}, \{1,3,1,0,0\}}$,
o2	:	{1,3,0,0,0}, {1,2,1,0,0}, {1,2,0,0,0}, {1,1,0,0,0}, {1,0,0,0,0} List	}
i3	:	transpose matrix hilbSeqs	
o3	=	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
o3	:	Matrix ZZ < ZZ	
•			

-

Next steps

It would be interesting to implement a Macaulay2 package to compute graded modules with given extremal Betti numbers[8].

It would be useful to implement a *Macaulay2* package for monomial modules over an exterior algebra. More precisely, we would like to implement some algorithms to compute stable, strongly stable, lexsegment submodules in *E* and to classify the Hilbert functions of quotients of *E*. This problem is currently under investigation.

Next steps

It would be interesting to implement a Macaulay2 package to compute graded modules with given extremal Betti numbers[8].

It would be useful to implement a Macaulay2 package for monomial modules over an exterior algebra. More precisely, we would like to implement some algorithms to compute stable, strongly stable, lexsegment submodules in E and to classify the Hilbert functions of quotients of E. This problem is currently under investigation.

Bibliography I

- J. Abbott, A. M. Bigatti, and G. Lagorio, "CoCoA-5: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra." Available at http://cocoa.dima.unige.it.
- D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, "Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry." Available at http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/.
- D. Bayer, H. Charalambous, and S. Popescu, "Extremal Betti numbers and applications to monomial ideals," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 221, pp. 497–512, 1999.
- M. Crupi and R. Utano, "Extremal Betti numbers of graded ideals," *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 43, pp. 235–244, 05 2003.
- J. Herzog, L. Sharifan, and M. Varbaro, "The possible extremal Betti numbers of a homogeneous ideal," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 142, 2 2014.
Bibliography II

- L. Amata and M. Crupi, "Computation of graded ideals with given extremal betti numbers in a polynomial ring," *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 2018.
- L. Amata and M. Crupi, "ExteriorIdeals: A package for computing monomial ideals in an exterior algebra." submitted to The Journal of Software for Algebra and Geometry, 2017.
- M. Crupi, "Extremal Betti numbers of graded modules," *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, vol. 220, pp. 2277–2288, 06 2016.

Thanks



▶ For $u, v \in Mon_d(S)$, $u \ge_{lex} v$, define the set

$$\mathcal{L}(u, v) = \{z \in \mathsf{Mon}_d(S) : u \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} z \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} v\}.$$

We denote by min(M) the smallest monomial of M respect to ≥_{lex}. Setting w = min(M), if ℓ > d is an integer, we define the set of monomials of degree ℓ in S called the *lexicographic shadow* of M as:

LexShad^{$$\ell-d$$}(\mathcal{M}) = $\mathcal{L}(x_1^{\ell}, wx_n^{\ell-d})$.

▶ Given two positive integers k, d, with 1 ≤ k < n and d ≥ 2, we define the following set of monomials:</p>

$$A(k,d) = \{u \in \operatorname{Mon}_d(S) : m(u) = k+1\}.$$

▶ If $u, v, u \ge_{\text{lex}} v$, are two monomials of A(k, d), we will denote by [u, v] the subset of A(k, d) defined as follows:

$$[u, v] = \{ w \in A(k, d) : u \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} w \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} v \}.$$

► Theorem

▶ For $u, v \in Mon_d(S)$, $u \ge_{lex} v$, define the set

$$\mathcal{L}(u,v) = \{z \in \mathsf{Mon}_d(S) : u \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} z \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} v\}.$$

We denote by min(M) the smallest monomial of M respect to ≥_{lex}. Setting w = min(M), if ℓ > d is an integer, we define the set of monomials of degree ℓ in S called the *lexicographic shadow* of M as:

$$\mathsf{LexShad}^{\ell-d}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(x_1^{\ell}, wx_n^{\ell-d}).$$

▶ Given two positive integers k, d, with 1 ≤ k < n and d ≥ 2, we define the following set of monomials:</p>

$$A(k,d) = \{u \in \operatorname{Mon}_d(S) : \mathsf{m}(u) = k+1\}.$$

▶ If $u, v, u \ge_{lex} v$, are two monomials of A(k, d), we will denote by [u, v] the subset of A(k, d) defined as follows:

$$[u, v] = \{ w \in A(k, d) : u \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} w \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} v \}.$$

► Theorem

▶ For $u, v \in Mon_d(S)$, $u \ge_{lex} v$, define the set

$$\mathcal{L}(u,v) = \{z \in \mathsf{Mon}_d(S) : u \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} z \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} v\}.$$

We denote by min(M) the smallest monomial of M respect to ≥_{lex}. Setting w = min(M), if ℓ > d is an integer, we define the set of monomials of degree ℓ in S called the *lexicographic shadow* of M as:

$$\mathsf{LexShad}^{\ell-d}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(x_1^{\ell}, wx_n^{\ell-d}).$$

► Given two positive integers k, d, with 1 ≤ k < n and d ≥ 2, we define the following set of monomials:</p>

$$A(k,d) = \{u \in \operatorname{Mon}_d(S) : \mathsf{m}(u) = k+1\}.$$

▶ If $u, v, u \ge_{\text{lex}} v$, are two monomials of A(k, d), we will denote by [u, v] the subset of A(k, d) defined as follows:

$$[u, v] = \{ w \in A(k, d) : u \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} w \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} v \}.$$

▶ Theorem

Luca Amata

▶ For $u, v \in Mon_d(S)$, $u \ge_{lex} v$, define the set

$$\mathcal{L}(u,v) = \{z \in \mathsf{Mon}_d(S) : u \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} z \ge_{\mathsf{lex}} v\}.$$

We denote by min(M) the smallest monomial of M respect to ≥_{lex}. Setting w = min(M), if ℓ > d is an integer, we define the set of monomials of degree ℓ in S called the *lexicographic shadow* of M as:

$$\mathsf{LexShad}^{\ell-d}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{L}(x_1^{\ell}, wx_n^{\ell-d}).$$

► Given two positive integers k, d, with 1 ≤ k < n and d ≥ 2, we define the following set of monomials:</p>

$$A(k,d) = \{u \in \operatorname{Mon}_d(S) : \mathsf{m}(u) = k+1\}.$$

If u, v, u ≥_{lex} v, are two monomials of A(k, d), we will denote by [u, v] the subset of A(k, d) defined as follows:

$$[u,v] = \{w \in A(k,d) : u \geq_{\mathsf{lex}} w \geq_{\mathsf{lex}} v\}.$$

Theorem